

REMARKS

In the latest Office Action, the claims were not rejected on their merits. Instead, the Examiner rejected them on the grounds of non-statutory obviousness-type double patenting.

To obviate the double-patenting rejection, Applicant is filing a Terminal Disclaimer herewith. New claims 25-29 are also being submitted to provide Applicant with a varied scope of protection for his contribution to the art.

If the Examiner believes that personal communication will expedite prosecution of this application, the Examiner is invited to telephone the undersigned at (248) 641-1600.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: Sept. 5, 2007

By: 
Bryant E. Wade
Reg. No. 40,344

HARNESS, DICKEY & PIERCE, P.L.C.
P.O. Box 828
Bloomfield Hills, Michigan 48303
(248) 641-1600

GGS/MPF/MJL/csd